

# **REPORT**

### ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT

# DEMONSTRATION OF CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION MEASURES IN NUTRIENTS RICH DRAINED ORGANIC SOILS IN THE BALTIC STATES AND FINLAND

# WORK PACKAGE

### INFORMATION AND DISSEMINATION

# (E1/5 AND E1/6)

# **ACTIONS**

Deliverable title "Printed project booklet with summaries of main results" and "Printed booklet on GHG emissions' mitigation measures"

Deliverable No. E1/5 and E1/6

Agreement No. LIFE18 CCM/LV/001158

Report Nr. 2024 - E1/5 and 2024 - E1/6

Type of the report Final

Organization Latvian State Forest Research Institute "Silava"























Report title | Printed project booklet with summaries of main results and

Printed booklet on GHG emissions' mitigation measures

Work package | Information and dissemination (E1/6)

Authors | E.Konstantinova, I.Līcīte, A.Zakenfelde, A.Lazdiņš, I.Krūze,

K.Purina, K.Soosar, O.Belova

Photos and drawings

Report No. 2024 - E1/5 and 2024 - E1/6

Type of report | Final

Place | Salaspils

Organization | Latvia State Forest Research Institute "Silava"

Contact information | Riga street 111, Salaspils, LV-2169

Phone: +37129183320

E-mail: ieva.licite@silava.lv

Web address: www.silava.lv

Date | 2024

Number of pages | 12

LIFE OrgBalt compiled the first regional Baltic/Finnish GHG emission factors for managed nutrient-rich organic soils (current and former peatlands), which have been made available for the customary scientific review and further verification for national GHG inventories in the hemiboreal region in Finland and the Baltic countries. While the project analysed selected CCM measures for drained organic soils in agriculture and forestry and developed spatial models and tools, it also identified remaining knowledge gaps. To bridge the remaining limitations and fill the gaps, it is essential to continue GHG measurements and model development, as well as to broaden and complete the scope of the evaluated CCM measures in the after-LIFE-project period, notably by including rewetting and restoration of peatlands that are currently considered to be among the most recommended CCM measures on drained peatlands in the EU. In addition, the developed Simulation and PPC models still include limited macroeconomic considerations and lack an assessment of all environmental impacts. For all these reasons, these models should be used carefully in CCM strategy development for the identification of gaps in climate neutrality transition policy and funding frameworks and need further optimization for broader applicability as decision-making tools.























EU LIFE Programme project
"Demonstration of climate change mitigation potential
of nutrients rich organic soils in Baltic States and Finland"

# **Contents**

(	$\cap$	n	T	$\triangle$	n	tα
ι.	v			$\overline{}$		1.0

INTRODUCTION	. 4
THE BOOKLET	. 4























### EU LIFE Programme project

"Demonstration of climate change mitigation potential of nutrients rich organic soils in Baltic States and Finland"

# **INTRODUCTION**

Among deliverables of the Action E.1 Information and dissemination were planned two printed booklets:

- Printed booklet on GHG emissions' mitigation measures (700 copies)
- Printed booklet with summaries of main results (500 copies).

As the main results include also information on GHG emissions' mitigation measures, the project consortium decided to combine both these booklets into one printed booklet (and make it 1200 copies) to avoid overlapping or repetition of information.

# THE BOOKLET























# **DEMONSTRATION OF CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION** POTENTIAL OF NUTRIENTS RICH ORGANIC SOILS IN **BALTIC STATES AND FINLAND**

# MAIN PROJECT RESULTS AND GHG EMISSIONS' **MITIGATION MEASURES**

























### **LIFE OrgBalt PROJECT**

The general idea of LIFE OrgBalt project is to explore the potential of Climate change Measures (CCM) practices that could contribute to a decrease of Green House Gas (GHG) emissions from drained nutrient-rich organic soils managed for agriculture or forestry purposes and demonstrate how these territories can be managed in a way that is balanced economically, socially, and environmentally.

#### **MAIN RESULTS**



#### IMPROVED GHG CALCULATIONS

Measurements of GHG emissions in managed organic soils which allows to develop and publish regional GHG emission factors. Improving activity data for GHG modelling by developing depth to water and wet area maps.



#### **CLIMATE CHANGE MEASURES**

Implementation of sustainable, resilient, and cost-effective CCM management practices in selected demonstration sites Development of proposals for sectoral strategies and action plans to reduce GHG emissions from organic soils



#### **TOOLS AND GUIDANCE**

Development of a simulation tool for estimation of GHG emissions and socio-economic benefits of various land-management approaches Demonstration of public and private partnership model in implementation of the CCM measures





#### IMPROVEMENT OF NATIONAL GHG INVENTORY

Filling the knowledge gaps has been one of the primary roles of the LIFE OrgBalt project throughout the whole implementation period. One of the greatest achievements of the project is development of regionally harmonised, scientifically sound GHG and environmental data collection methodology.

Improvement of GHG inventory was achieved by developing project territory-specific activity data and GHG emission factors (GHG emission factors developed for cropland, grassland and forest land categories, and organic soil area mapping – as regards activity data). Improvement of GHG inventory calculation methods is crucial for more precise GHG inventory calculations and GHG emission projections.


An **emission factor** allows estimation of GHG emissions per unit of activity. It is the average emission rate of a given source, relative to units of activity or process.


#### **ACTIVITY DATA**


Activity data (e.g. land use and management practices and conditions) in one of the most important elements of the GHG calculations and projections from organic soils, especially if change in climate conditions is considered in modelling. A set of maps was developed as a practical tool for planning sustainable soil management activities, both in the forestry and agricultural sectors.

#### **RESULT**

GHG flux monitoring allowed the development of regional emission factors for carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide from drained, nutrient-rich organic soils. Key findings reveal that carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions from grasslands and croplands are comparable and significantly higher than from forest lands. Forest lands showed no soil carbon stock loss, resulting in a negative carbon dioxide emission factor. Considering uncertainties, the methane emission factors developed for drained, nutrient-rich organic soils are not significantly different from the default ones (IPCC 2014) across all land use categories.







\*results based on scientific manuscripts prepared for or submitted for publishing. Slight changes may occur during the publishing process.



### CLIMATE CHANGE MITIGATION MEASURES

CCM management practices were implemented in nutrient rich organic soils in 17 demonstration sites on agricultural and forestry lands – each of differing land use type, drainage conditions and other characteristics. The process of establishing the climate change mitigation measures in the demonstration sites differs for each scenario, while the key benefits brought by the practices are the same – reduction of GHG emissions and increase in CO<sub>2</sub> removals.



Examples of management measures with climate change mitigation benefits demonstrated and analysed in the project include such practices as introduction of paludicultures, conversion of cropland to grassland, controlled drainage, agroforestry, continuous forest cover, wood ash application.

Over a two-year period, LIFE OrgBalt project has carried out measurements of GHG fluxes and other environmental variables in agricultural and forest land with nutrient-rich drained organic soils. Data collected at the demonstration sites of implemented CCM scenarios, among other parameters, is analysed and applied in modelling tools developed. The results reveal the most cost-effective climate

change mitigation measures in organic soil management.

#### **MAIN RESULTS**

Identification of organic soil management practices with the greatest climate change mitigation effects and cost-efficiency.

#### Main results are available here:

https://www.orgbalt.eu/?page\_id=2758



#### BENEFITS OF CCM MEASURES IMPLEMENTED

- Over a five-year period in agricultural organic soils, the most financially and economically beneficial CCM measure is Conversion of cropland to grassland followed by potentially efficient, but still requiring further evaluation, Controlled drainage of grassland. These CCM measures appear profitable for landowners and beneficial from socio-economic perspectives, including GHG emission reductions and the provision of ecosystem services. However, due to limited knowledge about the effect of the Controlled drainage of grassland only Conversion of cropland to grassland can be recommended for climate change mitigation while maintaining productivity, and further studies are necessary to reveal the mitigation potential of Controlled drainage.
- Agroforestry with fast-growing trees and grass and planting Fast-growing species in riparian buffer zones provide the best financial and socio-economic returns among afforestation measures over a 100-year period; however, plant protection measures are crucial to ensure the proposed effect.
- CCM measures such as Conventional afforestation with spruce and Paludiculture – afforestation of grassland with black alder and birch show relatively high socio-economic benefits but are not very profitable for landowners. These measures should be taken into account in further political discussions for public funding support to promote practices that ensure climate change mitigation. Significantly higher risks should be considered in the paludicultures.
- In forest lands, the most socio-economically beneficial measures are **Application of wood ash**, especially in mature forests, and **Regeneration with black alder** by planting trees on mounds. **Strip harvesting in pine stands** requires further evaluation of long term effect and the effect of size and shape of openings of the tree growth and soil GHG emissions. Continuous cover as a forest regeneration method in spruce stands is not recommended due to significant increase of risk of natural disturbances and limited ability to regenerate forest stands with high quality planting material. All forestry CCM measures indicate a negative financial outcome for foresters in the long term. Therefore, public funding support should be considered for these measures.

#### **GUIDANCE AND TOOLS**



LIFE OrgBalt project has developed two important tools to provide landowners and institutions with a socio-economic analysis of the measures implemented. Although both models are based on data relating to potential implementation of climate change mitigation measures, their target audiences differ. The simulation model serves as a tool for policy planning and decision-making at a regional and national level, meanwhile the PPC model provides site-specific calculations, and

its main target audience is landowners.

#### SIMULATION MODEL

The Simulation model is a policy planning / decision support tool for projections of GHG emissions and socio-economic consequences of selected management options and initial conditions. The model is designed to reflect activity data, emission factors and socio-economic estimates. It includes geospatial information

layers with data on soil, water and land use related indicators in all target countries.

- Simulation model is developed as a data-based support tool for policy planning and decision-making at a regional and national level.
- It evaluates the impact of climate change mitigation measures on socio-economic benefits of various land-management approaches and GHG emission reduction at a national level for the Baltic States.
- Results of Simulation model also demonstrate potential locations of the GHG emission reduction measures.

#### Simulation model is available here:

https://bioekonomika.lbtu.lv/orgbalt/





### PRIVATE AND PUBLIC PARTNERSHIP TOOL

The public and private sector cooperation model (PPC model) – a functional land management model as a tool for climate change mitigation and sustainable soil management. The aim of the model is to suggest innovative land management practices. The model demonstrates how these important territories can be managed while ensuring that economic, social, and climate mitigation benefits are achieved

The PPC model is created to examine the benefits and costs of proposed CCM practices, financing opportunities, institutional arrangements and enabling conditions that could motivate the implementation of CCM measures. This model is a support tool for estimations of the economic benefits of the CCM land-management measures on multiple levels – national and individual farm levels.

#### THE PPC MODEL IN PRACTICE

Users can choose the type of land (e.g. agricultural land, forest land) and, after which they are asked to enter a series of relevant data. The model returns economic and financial data to evaluate the return on investment and the potential GHG reductions of the selected scenario.

#### RESULTS

Model shows that all afforestation measures bring significantly bigger cumulative reductions of GHG emissions than other sets of measures due to more significant changes in land use. Investment costs and financial return differ significantly due to growth rate of selected species and lengths of rotation periods respectively.

Measures related to planting fast growing tree plantations are the most profitable within the group of afforestation measures taken into consideration, while the least profitable is the set of measures related with planting of black alder and excluding the maintenance of drainage systems.

The financial return from agriculture CCM measures is larger and payback period is shorter than that of forestry measures. However, it must be considered that while these sets of measures provide annual income, compared to forestry measures risks related to weather conditions (droughts, frosts, snowless winters, flooding, hail, etc.) may be comparatively higher for the harvest.

#### The PPC model is available here:

https://www.orgbalt.eu/?page\_id=2761

### CAPACITY BUILDING

Proposals for sectoral strategies and action plans to reduce GHG emissions from organic soils

Proposals for improvement of sectoral strategies and action plans to reduce GHG emissions from organic soils are developed to provide comprehensive and transparent information on the situation in Latvia and the project partner countries, and in the European Union (EU) as a whole. Organic soil management and GHG accounting improvements are crucial for climate policy as it is now. There are many policy documents – legislative acts, strategies and action plans – not only in the partner countries but also on EU level, which can benefit from improved CCM measures, especially when incorporated in a model that can give the overall picture on both farm level and regionally. The most important documents where the CCM measures can be implemented are the Common Agriculture Policy and National Climate and Energy Plans.

#### NATIONAL WORKSHOPS AND TRAINING SESSIONS

LIFE OrgBalt organised workshops and training sessions across all Project participant countries – Latvia, Estonia, Lithuania, Finland, and Germany, engaging more than 500 participants. The activities were designed to disseminate the research findings, introduce significance and influence of policy initiatives on organic soil management and enable practical use of supportive tools developed for the sustainable management of nutrient rich organic soils. National workshops included external expert presentations who gave the participants an insight on organic soil management good practices in partner countries for knowledge exchange. National workshops and Training sessions were focused on main Project target groups – landowners and managers, farmers and foresters, NGOs, rural and forestry advisors, scientific organizations as well as policy planners.

#### THE LIFE ORGBALT PROJECT IN BRIEF

DURATION: August 1, 2019 - August 31, 2024

Project code: LIFE18 CCM/LV/001158
Total project budget: 3 360 948 EUR

EU LIFE programme funding: 1 844 004 EUR

#### THE LIFE ORGBALT PARTNERS

**Latvia** Leading Beneficiary- Latvian State Forest Research

Institute "Silava" / www.silava.lv

Latvia University of Life Sciences and Technologies

/ https://www.lbtu.lv

Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Latvia /

https://www.zm.gov.lv

Association "Baltic Coasts" / www.baltijaskrasti.lv

**Lithuania** Lithuanian Research Centre for Agriculture and

Forestry / https://www.lammc.lt

**Estonia** University of Tartu / https://ut.ee

Finland Natural Resources Institute Finland LUKE /

https://www.luke.fi

**Germany** Michael Succow Foundation /

https://www.succow-stiftung.de

Project website: www.orgbalt.eu

Booklet of the LIFE OrgBalt project was developed with the financial support of the European Commission LIFE Program and the Latvian Environmental Protection Fund Administration within the framework of the project "Demonstration of climate change mitigation potential of nutrients rich organic soils in Baltic States and Finland" (LIFE OrgBalt, LIFE18 CCM/LV/001158).

The information reflects only the LIFE OrgBalt project beneficiaries' view and the European Climate, Infrastructure and Environment Executive Agency is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

© Baltijas krasti | Foto un attēli: A.Gaidis, SIA "KIDDIN"

